arXiv:1411.2371v2 [math.AP] 10 Jun 2016

The Kusuoka measure and the energy Laplacian on some level-k Sierpiński gaskets

Anders Öberg and Konstantinos Tsougkas

Abstract

We extend some results in the theory of analysis on fractal sets from the standard Laplacian on the Sierpiński gasket to the energy Laplacian, which is defined weakly by using the Kusuoka energy measure. We also extend results from Sierpiński gasket to level-k Sierpiński gaskets, for all $k \geq 2$. We observe that the pointwise formula is valid for level-k Sierpiński gaskets, SG_k and we supply a proof of a known formula for the renormalization constants of the Dirichlet form for the family SG_k along with a variant of it. We also produce a self-similar formula on vector form for the Kusuoka measure for SG_k, as well as a variable weight self-similar formula. For this case, we also obtain a formula for the scaling of the energy Laplacian. Finally, we provide explicit calculations of the mixing rates for the Kusuoka measure on the Sierpiński gasket.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Invariance results for the energy Laplacian and the Kusuoka measure **5**
2.1 Pointwise formulas for the self-similar and the energy Laplacian 5
2.2 Vector self-similarity of the Kusuoka measure on SG_k 11

3 Some ergodic theory for the Kusuoka measure **15**

1 Introduction

A theory of analysis on self-similar fractals is currently being developed. The main focus is the Laplace operator, as defined by Kigami, see [10], [11] and [12]. As a prototype, many authors focus on a specific kind of fractal called the Sierpiński gasket. Detailed expositions can be found in [10], [19] and [24]. In the theory of analysis on fractal sets, a Laplacian is usually defined weakly with respect to an invariant measure on the fractal set K. A standard way of accomplishing this is to first define a Dirichlet energy form $\mathcal{E}(f, f)$ on the fractal K, in analogy with $\int |\nabla f|^2 \, d\mu$, and then to define the Laplacian by equating the



Figure 1: The Γ_1 network of SG_2, SG_3 and SG_6

corresponding bilinear form $\mathcal{E}(u,v)$ with $-\int_K (\Delta_\mu u)\, v\, d\mu$, for functions v vanishing on the boundary of K. The choice of the measure μ becomes a delicate question. It is well-known that with respect to the uniform measure on K, the domain of the Laplacian is not even closed under multiplication [19]. By contrast, the Kusuoka measure is well-behaved in this sense, at least for the L^2 domain of the Laplacian, and in some other more subtler ways, e.g., for the Laplacian it defines we have Gaussian heat kernel estimates with respect to the effective resistance metric, and the energy Laplacian can furthermore be regarded as a second order differential operator [11].

In this paper we study properties of the Kusuoka measure and some properties for the Laplacian it defines on a family of fractals, the level-k Sierpiński gaskets, SG_k, which are realized in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and constructed by $k(k+1)/2$ contraction mappings $F_i(x) = x/k + b_{i,k}$ for appropriate choices of $b_{i,k}$, so that SG_k is the unique nonempty compact set that satisfies the self-similar identity

$$SG_k = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\frac{1}{2}(k+2)(k-1)} F_i(SG_k).$$

Notice that SG_2 is the ordinary Sierpiński gasket SG. These fractals satisfy the open set condition and therefore their Hausdorff dimension can easily be calculated by Moran's equation which gives us that each SG_k has Hausdorff dimension

$$s = 1 + \frac{\log(k+1) - \log 2}{\log k}.$$

Intuitively, as k increases they occupy more area and thus in the limit their dimension tends to two, the dimension of $\mathbb{R}^2$. For any SG_k, if $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ is a finite word, we define the mapping

$$F_w = F_{w_1} \circ \cdots \circ F_{w_n}.$$

We call $F_w K$ a cell of level m of SG_k. Any SG_k may be approximated by a sequence of graphs Γ_n with a vertex set V_n and edge relations $x \sim_n y$. That means, that for $x, y \in V_n$ we have that

$$x \sim_n y \iff x, y \in F_w(V_0)$$

for some word w of length n. The set $V_0 = \{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$, which is the same for all SG_k, will be regarded as the the boundary of SG_k. We also define $V^* = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$. If $x \in V^* \setminus V_0$,

then x is called a junction point. We can derive an explicit formula for the number of vertices in V_n for any k. We know that the number of vertices for self-similar graphs is $|V_n| = \frac{m^n(|V_1|-|V_0|)+m|V_0|-|V_1|}{m-1}$ where m is the number of contractions. In SG_k we have that $m = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ and it is easily seen that $V_1^k = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$. Then substituting to the above equation we have that

$$|V_n^k| = \frac{\left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2}\right)^n (k+4) + 2(k+1)}{(k+2)}.$$

The standard invariant measure μ on SG_k, which is a normalized version of the s-dimensional Hausdorff measure, satisfies

$$\mu(F_w F_i SG_k) = \frac{2}{k(k+1)}\mu(F_w SG_k), \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1, \text{ for any word } w.$$

For such a measure μ, we also have a self-similar identity

$$\mu(A) = \sum_i \mu_i \mu(F_i^{-1} A),$$

for any Borel subset A of SG_k whereas the Kusuoka measure satisfies a more complicated invariance identity and is strictly speaking not a self-similar measure. In [3] it was proved that for SG_2 we have for the Kusuoka measure ν and any Borel subset A the following scaling relationship

$$\nu(F_i A) = \int_A p_i(x)\, d\nu(x),$$

$i = 0, 1, 2$, and where

$$p_i(x) = \frac{1}{15} + \frac{12}{15} \cdot \frac{d\nu_i}{d\nu},$$

where $\nu = \nu_0 + \nu_1 + \nu_2$, where each ν_i is an energy measure defined by the energy form $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to each of the three harmonic functions h_i that defines a basis for the three-dimensional space of harmonic functions on SG_2. In [26] it was proved that the harmonic structure is non-degenerate for all SG_k and this makes it possible to study energy measures. More precisely, for any Borel set A, we can define an individual energy measure for a particular harmonic function

$$\nu_i(A) = \nu_{h_i}(A) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^m} \sum_{\{x,y\in A;\, x\sim_m y\}} \left(h_i(x) - h_i(y)\right)^2, \tag{1.1}$$

where r is the renormalization factor, or the resistance in the electric network interpretation, for SG_k, and which is different for different k. For $k = 2$ we have $r = 3/5$ and if $k = 3$ we have $r = 7/15$. We should note that the energy form $\mathcal{E}$ is the limit of energy forms $\mathcal{E}_m$

that are defined for functions on the vertex set V_m and which extends to the next V_{m+1} by means of minimizing energy. In the case of harmonic functions, the energy forms

$$\mathcal{E}_m(h,h) = r^{-m} \sum_{x \sim_m y} (h(x) - h(y))^2$$

are constant on m, and for a general function u on V^*, we have $\mathcal{E}_{m+1}(u,u) \geq \mathcal{E}_m(u,u)$ and so the limit $\mathcal{E}(u,u) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \mathcal{E}_m(u,u)$ exists and we denote by $\mathrm{dom}\mathcal{E} = \{u \in V^* : \mathcal{E}(u,u) < \infty\}$. Since the appropriate set of approximating vertices V^* is dense in SG_k and since the $\mathrm{dom}\mathcal{E}$ consists of uniformly continuous functions on V^*, we also have $\mathrm{dom}\mathcal{E} = \{u \in SG_k : \mathcal{E}(u,u) < \infty\}$. We let $\mathrm{dom}_0\mathcal{E}$ denote functions of finite energy that vanishes on the boundary V_0. The precise definition of the energy Laplacian Δ_ν now becomes that if $u \in \mathrm{dom}\mathcal{E}$, then we say that $u \in \mathrm{dom}\Delta_\nu$ and $\Delta_\nu u = f$ if $\mathcal{E}(u,v) = -\int_{SG_k} fv \, d\nu$, for all $v \in \mathrm{dom}_0\mathcal{E}$.

In section 2, we give a probabilistic interpretation of the pointwise formula for the standard Laplacian as well as provide a different proof of the previously known (see [15]) pointwise formula for the energy Laplacian and the renormalization factor (Propositions 2.1, 2.3 and 2.5). We extend to all SG_k the result that the only functions in both the domains of the standard and energy Laplacian are the harmonic functions and we also give a self-similar formula on vector form for the Kusuoka measure. It was pointed out in [7] that the Kusuoka measure generalizes Bernoulli measures and their properties to higher dimensions of an underlying finite dimensional vector space. Even for the Sierpiński gasket, SG_2, the Radon–Nikodym derivatives $\frac{d\nu_i}{d\nu}$ have dense sets of discontinuity points ([3], [7]), which makes it difficult to study the Kusuoka measure using transfer operator techniques, since we have "potentials" of the form $p_i = \frac{1}{15} + \frac{12}{15}\frac{d\nu_i}{d\nu}$. However, in [7] it is proved that these functions belong to a Banach space that contains both Hölder continuous functions and the weight functions of the form p_i, if we study the dynamics on a symbolic space. But it is clear from the investigation made in [7] that it is not quite natural to study transfer operators on functions, but rather on matrix-valued operators and this is also reflected in our self-similar formula (Theorem 2.9) which is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_0 \\ \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{(k+2)(k-1)}{2}} M_i \begin{pmatrix} \nu_0 \\ \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \end{pmatrix} \circ F_i^{-1},$$

for certain matrices which we describe explicitly and where the F_i's are the maps that define the self-similar form of SG_k. We also provide explicit expressions for the weight functions p_i (in terms of the Radon–Nikodym derivatives $\frac{d\nu_i}{d\nu}$) in the case of SG_k, and so we obtain a variable self-similar formula (Corollary 2.10) of the form $\nu(F_i A) = \int_A p_i(x) \, d\nu(x)$, studied in [7]. In addition, we obtain an analogous variable weight self-similar formula for the energy Laplacian for all SG_k (Theorem 2.11), which generalizes the corresponding result in [3].

Finally, in section 3, we provide explicit calculations of the mixing rate for the Kusuoka measure on SG_2, similar to the results in [7], but using a singular value decomposition of the matrices involved in the harmonic extension algorithm.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Anders Johansson, Mark Pollicott and Robert S. Strichartz for valuable suggestions, and for being able to visit Cornell University. The first author was supported in part within the project *Ergodic theory of energy measures on fractals*, financed by the **Royal Society (UK)**, grant **IE121546**.

2 Invariance results for the energy Laplacian and the Kusuoka measure

The Kusuoka measure is defined as

$$\nu = \nu_{h_0} + \nu_{h_1} + \nu_{h_2}$$

where $h_i(q_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 0, 1, 2$ is the standard basis of harmonic functions. This definition is valid for any SG_k keeping in mind that the harmonic functions look differently depending on k. An equivalent definition for the Kusuoka measure would be to define it as

$$\nu' = \nu_h + \nu_{h^\perp}$$

where $\{h, h^\perp\}$ is an orthonormal basis of harmonic functions modulo constants. This definition is independent of the orthonormal basis used and in this case we get that $\nu' = \frac{1}{3}\nu$, or more generally $\nu' = \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{E}(h, h)$ if we drop the orthonormality condition. The Kusuoka measure is singular with respect to the standard measure. For SG_2 the decay rates of the Kusuoka measure is $(\frac{3}{5})^m$. Specifically, for all words w of length $|w| = m$ we have that $\nu(F_w K) \leqslant c\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^m$. We can see this as follows. In [3] it is shown that for any word w and $i = 0, 1, 2$ we get that, $\nu(F_w F_i^m K) = O\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^m$. Also, for all harmonic functions h and for any word w of length m we have according to [2] that

$$\max_{|w|=m} \nu_h(F_w K) = \max_{i=0,1,2} \nu_h(F_i^m K)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\nu(F_w K) = \nu_h(F_w K) + \nu_{h^\perp}(F_w K) &\leqslant \sup_{i=0,1,2} \nu_h(F_i^m K) + \sup_{i=0,1,2} \nu_{h^\perp}(F_i^m K) \\ &\leqslant \sup_{i=0,1,2} \nu(F_i^m K) + \sup_{i=0,1,2} \nu(F_i^m K) \leqslant c\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^m.\end{aligned}$$

2.1 Pointwise formulas for the self-similar and the energy Laplacian

The graph Laplacians are defined on V_m for SG_k as

$$\Delta_m u(x) = \frac{1}{deg(x)} \sum_{y \sim_m x} (u(y) - u(x)) \text{ for } x \in V_m \setminus V_0. \tag{2.1}$$

where $deg(x)$ is the cardinality of the set $\{y \sim_m x\}$. We also define the functions $\psi_x^{(m)}$ which are called piecewise harmonic splines, to be $\psi_x^{(m)}(y) = \delta_{xy}$ for $y \in V_m$. The pointwise formula for $x \in V^* \setminus V_0$ is

$$\Delta_\mu u(x) = \lim_{m\to\infty} r^{-m} \left(\int_K \psi_x^{(m)} \mathrm{d}\mu \right)^{-1} deg(x) \Delta_m u(x). \tag{2.2}$$

It suffices then to know the renormalization (or scaling) constant for the energy form on SG_k (the resistance, in the electric network interpretation) and $\int_K \psi_x^{(m)} \mathrm{d}\mu$. As for the renormalization constant, even though a closed formula is unknown in general for SG_k we can provide a formula with a probabilistic interpretation which is based on random walks on the Γ_m graphs. This formula appears without proof in [4] (p. 38) and we have not been able to find a proof it.

Proposition 2.1. *In SG_k the renormalization constant satisfies the formula*

$$r_k = 1 - p_k$$

where p_k is the probability that a random walk starting at a boundary vertex returns to itself before reaching any of the other two boundary vertices.

Proof. Let the boundary vertices of SG_k be called q_0, q_1, q_2 and let A be the harmonic extension matrix corresponding to the cell that includes the boundary vertex q_0. Let x, y be the other two vertices of that cell, namely $F_0(q_1)$ and $F_0(q_2)$. Then the matrix is of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p_{xq_0} & p_{xq_1} & p_{xq_2} \\ p_{yq_0} & p_{yq_1} & p_{yq_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

where p_{xq_0} denotes the probability of a random walk starting at x reaches q_0 before any of the two other boundary vertices q_1 or q_2. Equivalently p_{xq_1} is the probability of a random walk starting at x and reaching the boundary vertex q_1 before any of the other two q_0 or q_2 and so forth. But then by the symmetry of the SG_k we have that $p_{xq_0} = p_{yq_0}$ and $p_{xq_1} = p_{yq_2}$ and $p_{xq_2} = p_{yq_1}$ which makes the matrix become

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p_{xq_0} & p_{xq_1} & p_{xq_2} \\ p_{xq_0} & p_{xq_2} & p_{xq_1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the characteristic polynomial becomes $(1-\lambda)(p_{xq_1} + p_{xq_2} - \lambda)((p_{xq_1} - p_{xq_2} - \lambda)$ with the obvious eigenvalue 1 due to the constant functions, and the other two eigenvalues being $p_{xq_1} + p_{xq_2}$ and $p_{xq_1} - p_{xq_2}$. But it is known that the second largest eigenvalue of this matrix equals the renormalization constant r_k. Thus $r_k = p_{xq_1} + p_{xq_2} = 1 - p_{xq_0}$. But p_{xq_0} is the same probability as that of a random walk starting at q_0 and returning to q_0 before reaching any of the other two boundary vertices q_1, q_2. □

It is obvious that as $k \to \infty$ we have that $r_k \to 0$. It would be interesting to have some information regarding its decay rate. However, for our current purposes it suffices to obtain the following.

Lemma 2.2. *In SG_k the renormalization constant satisfies*

$$r_k > \frac{2}{3k}.$$

Proof. Let q_1 and q_2 be two boundary vertices of the V_1 network of SG_k. We put along each edge resistance 1 and apply $\Delta - Y$ transformations until we arrive to its V_0 network where each edge will have resistance $\frac{1}{r}$. Then, the effective resistance $R(q_1, q_2)$ remains the same which is easily seen to be $R(q_1, q_2) = \frac{2}{3r_k}$ and thus $r_k = \frac{2}{3R(q_1,q_2)}$. However, it is known that for any graph, if there exists more than one unique path from v_1 to v_2 ,which is now the case, then $R(q_1, q_2) < d(q_1, q_2)$ where d is the standard graph distance. Thus we get that $r_k > \frac{2}{3k}$. □

If we perform a simple symmetric random walk on a graph, we call the expected hitting time $H(q_1, q_2)$ of two vertices, the expected number of steps that the random walk starting from q_1 first arrives at q_2. The commute time is $K(q_1, q_2) = H(q_1, q_2) + H(q_2, q_1)$. The pointwise formula for the self-similar Laplacian can then be evaluated as follows.

Proposition 2.3. *The pointwise formula for the self-similar Laplacian on SG_k for $x \in V^\star \setminus V_0$ is*

$$\Delta_\mu u(x) = 6 \lim_{m\to\infty} \left(\frac{H(q_1, q_2)}{2}\right)^m \Delta_m u(x),$$

where q_1, q_2 are any two points on V_0.

Proof. As before, we have that $r_k = \frac{2}{3R(q_1,q_2)}$ where the effective resistance is taken on Γ_1. It is also known that the effective resistance between two vertices q_1, q_2 of a graph satisfies the formula $R(q_1, q_2) = \frac{K(q_1,q_2)}{2|E|}$ where K is the commute time and $|E|$ is the number of edges. If we take q_1, q_2 to be on V_0, the commute time is symmetric and it is twice the expected hitting time $H(q_1, q_2)$. We also have that on Γ_m the number of edges is $(3\frac{k(k+1)}{2})^m$. Let x be a vertex in V_m for some m. It suffices to compute $\int_K \psi_x^{(m)} \mathrm{d}\mu$. We observe that for a cell with boundary vertices x, y, z we have that

$$\int_K \psi_x^{(m)} + \psi_y^{(m)} + \psi_z^{(m)} \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{F_w K} 1 \mathrm{d}\mu = \mu(F_w K) = \left(\frac{2}{k(k+1)}\right)^m.$$

But then, $\int_K \psi_x^{(m)} \mathrm{d}\mu = \frac{1}{3}\frac{deg(x)}{2}\left(\frac{2}{k(k+1)}\right)^m$ and substituting this to the pointwise formula gives us the result. □

Remark 2.4. This gives us for the SG_2 that $\Delta_\mu u(x) = 6 \lim_{m\to\infty} 5^m \Delta_m u(x)$ and for the SG_3 that $\Delta_\mu u(x) = 6 \lim_{m\to\infty} \left(\frac{90}{7}\right)^m \Delta_m u(x)$.

In fact this approach generalizes to other fully symmetric nested fractals with a regular harmonic structure. Approximating them by a sequence of graphs, we have that Γ_0 is the complete graph on the vertex set V_0 and, since the effective resistance between two vertices q_1, q_2 on the complete graph is $\frac{2}{|V_0|}$, we obtain that $r = \frac{2}{|V_0|R(q_1,q_2)}$. On Γ_m the number of edges is $(\frac{|V_0|(|V_0|-1)}{2}N)^m$, where N is the number of contractions, which gives us $r = \frac{(|V_0|-1)N}{H(q_1,q_2)}$. As for the evaluation of the integrals of the piecewise harmonic splines, we have

$$\int_K \psi_x^{(m)} \mathrm{d}\mu = \frac{deg(x)}{|V_0|(|V_0|-1)N^m}.$$

Putting it all together, we obtain

$$\Delta_\mu u(x) = |V_0|(|V_0|-1) \lim_{m\to\infty} \left(\frac{H(q_1,q_2)}{|V_0|-1}\right)^m \Delta_m u(x).$$

As for the energy Laplacian, a pointwise formula was computed in the unpublished thesis [15] on SG_2 by evaluating the factor of

$$\int_K \psi_x^{(m)} \mathrm{d}\nu$$

using the *carré du champs* formula. It is proven for $x \in V^* \setminus V_0$ that

$$\Delta_\nu u(x) = 2 \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\Delta_m u(x)}{\Delta_m({h_1}^2 + {h_2}^2)(x)}$$

where h_1 and h_2 is the orthonormal basis of harmonic functions modulo constants. It is then used to prove that if a function belongs both in the domains of the standard and energy Laplacian, it must necessarily be harmonic. Here, we supply a variant of the proof of the pointwise formula in [15], and we note that it holds for all SG_k.

We evaluate the pointwise formula of the energy Laplacian for the more general Sierpiński gasket type fractals SG_k and observe that it is exactly identical to the formula for SG_2. The reason for this is that while for the standard Laplacian the change is visible through the different rates of convergence, i.e., from 5^m to $\left(\frac{90}{7}\right)^m$ from SG_2 to SG_3, in the case of energy Laplacian the change occurs indirectly, owing to the different harmonic functions; for any k in SG_k, we have different harmonic extension algorithms and thus the change occurs in the factor $\Delta_m({h_1}^2 + {h_2}^2)(x)$, since it is different for different values of k.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $u \in dom\Delta_\nu$. Then for all $x \in V_* \setminus V_0$, we have*

$$\Delta_\nu u(x) = 2 \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\Delta_m u(x)}{\Delta_m({h_1}^2 + {h_2}^2)(x)},$$

with uniform limit across $V_* \setminus V_0$.

Proof. It suffices to compute $\int_K \psi_x^{(m)} \mathrm{d}\nu$. We have from Theorem 2.4.2 of [19] that for any measure μ and $u \in dom\Delta_\mu$ that

$$\int_K \psi_x^{(m)}(y)\Delta_\mu u(y)\mathrm{d}\mu = r^{-m} deg(x)\Delta_m u(x).$$

In fact this is stated for SG_2 but the result is valid also for SG_k because the proof remains identical due to the matching condition of the local normal derivatives which is that they sum to zero. Then by using the sum ${h_1}^2 + {h_2}^2$ instead of u and by using the energy Laplacian we get that

$$\int_K \psi_x^{(m)}(y)\Delta_\nu({h_1}^2 + {h_2}^2)\mathrm{d}\nu(\mathrm{y}) = r^{-m} deg(x)\Delta_m({h_1}^2 + {h_2}^2)$$

But we also have

$$\int_K \psi_x^{(m)}(y)\Delta_\nu({h_1}^2 + {h_2}^2)\mathrm{d}\nu(\mathrm{y}) = \int_K \psi_x^{(m)}(y)\Delta_\nu {h_1}^2 \mathrm{d}\nu(\mathrm{y}) + \int_K \psi_x^{(m)}(y)\Delta_\nu {h_2}^2 \mathrm{d}\nu(\mathrm{y})$$

$$= \int_K \psi_x^{(m)}(y)\left(2h_1\Delta_\nu h_1 + 2\frac{d\nu_{h_1}}{d\nu}\right)\mathrm{d}\nu(\mathrm{y}) + \int_K \psi_x^{(m)}(y)\left(2h_2\Delta_\nu h_2 + 2\frac{d\nu_{h_2}}{d\nu}\right)\mathrm{d}\nu(\mathrm{y})$$

and since h_1 and h_2 are harmonic functions, they have Laplacian equal to 0 and thus the above expression equals to

$$\int_K \psi_x^{(m)}(y) 2\frac{d\nu_{h_1}}{d\nu}\mathrm{d}\nu(\mathrm{y}) + \int_K \psi_x^{(m)}(y) 2\frac{d\nu_{h_2}}{d\nu}\mathrm{d}\nu(\mathrm{y})$$

$$= 2\int_K \psi_x^{(m)}(y)\mathrm{d}\nu_{\mathrm{h_1}}(\mathrm{y}) + 2\int_K \psi_x^{(m)}(y)\mathrm{d}\nu_{\mathrm{h_2}}(\mathrm{y})$$

$$= 2\int_K \psi_x^{(m)}(y)\mathrm{d}(\nu_{\mathrm{h_1}} + \nu_{\mathrm{h_2}})(\mathrm{y}) = 2\int_K \psi_x^{(m)}(y)\mathrm{d}\nu(\mathrm{y})$$

Hence

$$\int_K \psi_x^{(m)}(y)\mathrm{d}\nu(\mathrm{y}) = \frac{1}{2} r^{-m} deg(x)\Delta_m({h_1}^2 + {h_2}^2)(x)$$

and by substituting to the pointwise formula we obtain our result. □

Remark 2.6. This is in spirit the same proof as in [15], since the formula $\Delta_\nu(u^2) = 2u\Delta_\nu u + 2\frac{d\nu_u}{d\nu}$ is a consequence of the *carré du champs* formula (the chain rule for energy measures), which is used in [15].

It was also shown in [15] that in SG_2 the only functions that are in both the domains of the standard Laplacian and in the domain of the Kusuoka Laplacian are the harmonic functions. The proof can be generalized to all SG_k with only a minor modification based on the slow decay rate of r_k we found in lemma 2.2. We present the entire proof here for the convenience of the reader.

Proposition 2.7. *For all SG_k we have that if $u \in dom\Delta \cap dom\Delta_\nu$ then u must necessarily be harmonic.*

Proof. Let $u \in dom\Delta \cap dom\Delta_\nu$ such that u is not harmonic and let h_1, h_2 be the orthonormal basis of harmonic functions modulo constants in the definition of the Kusuoka measure. Then since the harmonic extension algorithm is non-degenerate by assumption, there exist a junction point x such that $\Delta_\nu(x) \neq 0$ and $\partial_n h_1(x) \neq 0$. The latter gives us that there exists a constant c and x_m in Γ_m such that $r_k^{-m}|h_1(x_m) - h_1(x)| > c$. Let $\lambda = \frac{k(k+1)}{2r_k}$. We can check that $\Delta_m {h_2}^2(x) > 0$ and also by the harmonicity of h_1 we can write

$$\begin{aligned} deg(x)\Delta_m {h_1}^2(x) &= \sum_{y\sim_m x} (h_1(y) - h_1(x))^2 + 2h_1(x) \sum_{y\sim_m x} (h_1(y) - h_1(x)) \\ &= \sum_{y\sim_m x} (h_1(y) - h_1(x))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^m \Delta_m({h_1}^2 + {h_2}^2)(x) \geq \lambda^m \Delta_m h_1(x)^2 &= \frac{\lambda^m}{deg(x)} \sum_{y\sim_m x} (h_1(y) - h_1(x))^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda^m}{deg(x)} |h_1(x_m) - h_1(x)|^2 \geq \frac{\lambda^m}{deg(x)} r_k^{2m} c^2. \end{aligned}$$

But by using the lemma 2.2 above, we see for $k > 2$ that $\lambda r_k^2 > 1$ and thus

$$\lambda^m \Delta_m(h_1^2(x) + h_2^2(x) \to \infty.$$

By using the pointwise formula of the energy Laplacian, i.e,

$$\Delta_\nu u(x) = 2 \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\Delta_m u(x)}{\Delta_m({h_1}^2 + {h_2}^2)(x)}$$

and the fact that $\lim_{m\to\infty} \lambda^m \Delta_m u(x) < \infty$, we get that $\Delta_\nu u(x) = 0$, a contradiction. □

In [19] and [17] we have a refinement of the "$\frac{1}{5} - \frac{2}{5}$ rule" for the SG_2 where it is generalized from harmonic functions to general functions in $dom\Delta_\mu$. First we make a correction of the formula (4.3) for [17]. The formula should read

$$\begin{aligned} u(x_2) = &\frac{2}{5}(u(y_0) + u(y_1)) + \frac{1}{5}u(y_2) \\ &+ \frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{5^m}(-\frac{6}{5}\Delta_\mu u(x_2) - \frac{2}{5}\Delta_\mu u(x_1) - \frac{2}{5}\Delta_\mu u(x_0)) + R_m \end{aligned}$$

and so on with $R_m = o(5^{-m})$. This result is obtained through a statement about the convergence rate of the discrete graph Laplacians. We now state the corresponding result for the energy Laplacian.

Lemma 2.8. *For $u \in dom\Delta_\nu$ and $x \notin V_0$ we have that*

$$\Delta_\nu u(x) - \frac{2\Delta_m u(x)}{\Delta_m(h_1^2+h_2^2)(x)} = \frac{2r^m deg(x)^{-1}}{\Delta_m(h_1^2+h_2^2)(x)} \int \psi_x^m(y)(\Delta_\nu u(x) - \Delta_\nu u(y))\mathrm{d}\nu(\mathrm{y}).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \psi_x^{(m)}(y)(\Delta_\nu u(x) - \Delta_\nu u(y))\mathrm{d}\nu(\mathrm{y}) \\
=&\Delta_\nu u(x) \int \psi_x^{(m)}(y)\mathrm{d}\nu(\mathrm{y}) - \int \Delta_\nu u(y)\psi_x^{(m)}(y)\mathrm{d}\nu(\mathrm{y}) \\
=&\frac{1}{2}r^{-m}deg(x)\Delta_m({h_1}^2+{h_2}^2)(x)\Delta_\nu u(x) - r^{-m}deg(x)\Delta_m u(x)
\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. □

2.2 Vector self-similarity of the Kusuoka measure on SG_k

Here we provide a self-similar identity for the energy Laplacian in SG_k analogous to the self-similar identity derived for SG_2 in [3]. This is the type of self-similarity one can expect from the Kusuoka measure on different fractals, since it is shown from the approach in [7] that the Kusuoka measure generalizes Bernoulli measures and their properties if the corresponding transfer operator (the adjoint of the shift map) acts on a certain injective limit of matrix-spaces, that is to say: we multiply matrices instead of numbers when we consider Kusuoka measures, and this reduces to the Bernoulli measures in the one-dimensional case. We will see in section 3 that if we on the other hand want to study a transfer operator on functions, then we get a complicated situation, since the corresponding potential has a dense set of discontinuity points. In some sense then, it is more natural to regard the Kusuoka measure on the self-similar vector form considered here.

First of all the energy measures ν_{h_i} of the harmonic functions h_i will be denoted for brevity as ν_i. From some elementary computations it is found in [3] that

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_{h_0,h_1} &= \frac{1}{2}(-\nu_0 - \nu_1 + \nu_2); \\
\nu_{h_0,h_2} &= \frac{1}{2}(-\nu_0 + \nu_1 - \nu_2); \\
\nu_{h_1,h_2} &= \frac{1}{2}(\nu_0 - \nu_1 - \nu_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Fix a natural number $k \geq 2$. To simplify notation we assume that all calculations in the remainder of this section are done in SG_k, which has a total of $d = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ cells and r is the renormalization constant r_k. Denote by p_{ni}^j the probability that a random walk on

the Γ_1 graph starting at the vertex $F_n(q_i)$ arrives at the boundary vertex q_j before any of the other two boundary vertices.

Take the symmetric harmonic function h_i with 1 at the boundary vertex q_i and zero elsewhere. Owing to the probabilistic interpretation of the harmonic extension algorithm of SG_k, we can establish the relations

$$h_j \circ F_n = \sum_{i=0}^{2} p_{ni}^j h_i. \tag{2.4}$$

Theorem 2.9. *In the case of SG_k, for every cell C we have that*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_0(F_iC) \\ \nu_1(F_iC) \\ \nu_2(F_iC) \end{pmatrix} = M_i \begin{pmatrix} \nu_0(C) \\ \nu_1(C) \\ \nu_2(C) \end{pmatrix}$$

and in particular,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_0 \\ \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n=0}^{d-1} M_n \begin{pmatrix} \nu_0 \\ \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \end{pmatrix} \circ F_n^{-1}. \tag{2.5}$$

where $M_n = [\mu_{ji}^n]$ is the 3×3 matrix with elements

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{j0}^n &= \frac{1}{r}((p_{n0}^j)^2 - p_{n0}^j p_{n1}^j - p_{n0}^j p_{n2}^j + p_{n1}^j p_{n2}^j), \\
\mu_{j1}^n &= \frac{1}{r}((p_{n1}^j)^2 - p_{n0}^j p_{n1}^j + p_{n0}^j p_{n2}^j - p_{n1}^j p_{n2}^j), \\
\mu_{j2}^n &= \frac{1}{r}((p_{n2}^j)^2 + p_{n0}^j p_{n1}^j - p_{n0}^j p_{n2}^j - p_{n1}^j p_{n2}^j).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $n \in \{0, 1, \dots d-1\}$, $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and f be a continuous function on SG_k. Then by (2.4) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{F_nK} f \, d\nu_j = \frac{1}{r} \int_K f \circ F_n \, d\nu_{\sum_{i=0}^2 p_{ni}^j h_i} &= \frac{1}{r}((p_{n0}^j)^2 \int_K f \circ F_n \, d\nu_0 + (p_{n1}^j)^2 \int_K f \circ F_n \, d\nu_1 \\
&+ (p_{n2}^j)^2 \int_K f \circ F_n \, d\nu_2 + 2p_{n0}^j p_{n1}^j \int_K f \circ F_n \, d\nu_{0,1} + 2p_{n0}^j p_{n2}^j \int_K f \circ F_n \, d\nu_{0,2} \\
&+ 2p_{n1}^j p_{n2}^j \int_K f \circ F_n \, d\nu_{1,2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then by using (2.3) we arrive to the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{F_nK} f \, d\nu_j &= \frac{1}{r}\left((p_{n0}^j)^2 - p_{n0}^j p_{n1}^j - p_{n0}^j p_{n2}^j + p_{n1}^j p_{n2}^j\right) \int_K f \circ F_n \, d\nu_0 \\
&+ \frac{1}{r}\left((p_{n1}^j)^2 - p_{n0}^j p_{n1}^j + p_{n0}^j p_{n2}^j - p_{n1}^j p_{n2}^j\right) \int_K f \circ F_n \, d\nu_1 \\
&+ \frac{1}{r}\left((p_{n2}^j)^2 + p_{n0}^j p_{n1}^j - p_{n0}^j p_{n2}^j - p_{n1}^j p_{n2}^j\right) \int_K f \circ F_n \, d\nu_2
\end{aligned}$$

and from that we can deduce that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_0 \\ \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n=0}^{d-1} M_n \begin{pmatrix} \nu_0 \\ \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \end{pmatrix} \circ F_n^{-1}. \tag{2.6}$$

□

Corollary 2.10. *Let S_i^n be the sum of the elements of the column i in M_n and $R_i = \frac{d\nu_i}{d\nu}$. Then, the Kusuoka measure satisfies the variable weight self-similar identity*

$$\nu = \sum_{n=0}^{d-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2} S_i^n R_i \right) \nu \circ F_n^{-1} \tag{2.7}$$

and thus for any integrable f we have that

$$\int_K f \, d\nu = \sum_{n=0}^{d-1} \int_K \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2} S_i^n R_i \right) f \circ F_n \, d\nu. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. The result follows immediately by using equation (2.5) and by the fact that $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_0 \\ \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}$. □

From these observations we now obtain the "self-similar" scaling formula for the energy Laplacian Δ_ν on the SG_k.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $Q_j = \sum_{i=0}^{2} S_i^j R_i$. Then the energy Laplacian Δ_ν satisfies*

$$\Delta_\nu (u \circ F_j) = r Q_j (\Delta_\nu u) \circ F_j \tag{2.9}$$

ν-almost everywhere.

Proof. The proof follows the exact same methodology of [3]. By the definition of the energy Laplacian and by (2.8) with f now being $(\Delta_\nu u)v$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{E}(u,v) &= \int_K (\Delta_\nu u) v \, d\nu \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \int_K \sum_{i=0}^{2} S_i^n \frac{d\nu_i}{d\nu} (\Delta_\nu u) \circ F_j \;\; v \circ F_j \;\; d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

We also know that $\mathcal{E}$ is self-similar, hence

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) = \frac{1}{r}\sum_{j=0}^{d-1}\mathcal{E}(u\circ F_j, v\circ F_j).$$

Combining these two we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=0}^{d-1}\int_K \sum_{i=0}^{2} S_i^j R_i(\Delta_\nu u)\circ F_j \;\; v\circ F_j \;\; d\nu\\ &= \frac{1}{r}\sum_{j=0}^{d-1}\int_K \Delta_\nu(u\circ F_j) \;\; v\circ F_j \;\; d\nu.\end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for any v and thus $v\circ F_j$ can be chosen arbitrarily, we obtain the self-similarity of Δ_ν. □

By iterating (2.11) we have the equivalent corollary of [3] for the proper Q_w as above.

Corollary 2.12. *Let $w = (w_1, ..., w_m)$ be a finite word of length m and $F_w = F_{w_1}\circ....\circ F_{w_m}$. Define*

$$Q_w = Q_{w_m}\cdot(Q_{w_{m-1}}\circ F_{w_m})\cdot(Q_{w_{m-2}}\circ F_{w_{m-1}}\circ F_{w_m})\cdots(Q_{w_1}\circ F_{w_2}\circ\cdots\circ F_{w_m})$$

Then

$$\Delta_\nu(u\circ F_w) = r^m Q_w(\Delta_\nu u)\circ F_w$$

ν-almost everywhere.

As an example, we compute the scaling formula for SG_3. The probabilities for the random walk can be easily evaluated by the "$\frac{1}{3} - \frac{4}{15} - \frac{8}{15}$" rule and the matrices become

$$M_0 = \frac{1}{105}\begin{pmatrix}49 & 0 & 0\\ 12 & 4 & -3\\ 12 & -3 & 4\end{pmatrix}, M_1 = \frac{1}{105}\begin{pmatrix}4 & 12 & -3\\ 0 & 49 & 0\\ -3 & 12 & 4\end{pmatrix},$$

$$M_2 = \frac{1}{105}\begin{pmatrix}4 & -3 & 12\\ -3 & 4 & 12\\ 0 & 0 & 49\end{pmatrix}, M_3 = \frac{1}{105}\begin{pmatrix}4 & 0 & 0\\ -3 & 12 & 4\\ -3 & 4 & 12\end{pmatrix},$$

$$M_4 = \frac{1}{105}\begin{pmatrix}12 & -3 & 4\\ 0 & 4 & 0\\ 4 & -3 & 12\end{pmatrix}, M_5 = \frac{1}{105}\begin{pmatrix}12 & 4 & -3\\ 4 & 12 & -3\\ 0 & 0 & 4\end{pmatrix},$$

which gives us

$$\int_K f\,d\nu = \frac{1}{105}\sum_{i=0}^{2}\int_K (1+72R_i)\,f\circ F_i\,d\nu + \frac{1}{105}\sum_{i=3}^{5}\int_K (16-18R_{i-3})\,f\circ F_i\,d\nu$$

and also

$$Q_j = \frac{1}{105}\,(1+72R_j)\ \text{ for } j=0,1,2,$$
$$Q_j = \frac{1}{105}(16-18R_{j-3})\ \text{ for } j=3,4,5.$$

.

We can also exploit the symmetry of SG_k which makes many of those probabilities be equal to each other and thus simplifying some of these formulas. It is common practice to write the F_i's in groups of three such that the cells F_iK are counterclockwise rotations of each other by $2\pi/3$. Then the equivalent matrices are related in the sense that it suffices to compute only one of these. For the other ones in that group of three we have that $\mu_{ij}^{k+1} = \mu_{i-1j-1}^{k}$ where the operations are in $\mathbb{Z}_3$. A nice simplification happens in SG_k's for k such that there exists a cell exactly in the middle, let's call it F_cK, which is invariant under rotations. Those k's are of the form $k = 3l+1$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then all the S_i's are equal to each other and hence there is no need for the use of Radon–Nikodym derivatives. As a consequence, the following scaling formula will be valid everywhere for the Laplacian on that cell

$$\Delta_\nu(u\circ F_c) = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}(h_1\circ F_c)(\Delta_\nu u)\circ F_c.$$

3 Some ergodic theory for the Kusuoka measure

Let S be a finite set and let X denote the symbolic space $X = S^{\mathbb{Z}_+}$ ($\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0,1,2,\dots\}$) of functions $x : \mathbb{Z}_+ \to S$. The (point) shift map $T : X \to X$ is defined as $(Tx)(n) = x(n+1)$. In this abstract setting, the Kusuoka measure is a shift-invariant measure on the space X. The transfer operator L is the dual of the shift operator $Tf = f\circ T$ on the Hilbert-space of functions $L^2(X,\nu)$. It has the form

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{s\in S} g(sx)f(sx)$$

where the *g-function* can be defined as

$$g(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\nu([x]_n)}{\nu\left([Tx]_{n-1}\right)},$$

where $[x]_n$ denote the cylinder of length n containing x.

For a non-zero $h \in \mathbb{H}$, the space of harmonic functions, the corresponding *energy-measure* ν_h on X is defined on an elementary cylinder $[w] = \{x : [x]_k = w\}$ as the power that dissipates on the induced sub-graph $G[w]$. By the above we have

$$\nu_h([w]) = \mathcal{E}(A_w h, A_w h) = hTA_wTA_wh,$$

if we express h in an energy-basis.

By the definition of the induced subgraphs this measure is additive and extends in the usual way to a measure on the Borel σ-field $\mathcal{F}$ on X. If we assume that ν_h is a probability measure with $\nu_h(X) = 1$, the association $h \mapsto \nu_h$ is defined on projective space $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})$, i.e. $\nu_h = \nu_{h'}$ if $h' = \alpha h$, $\alpha \neq 0$. Moreover, the ratios $\nu_h([sw])/\nu_h([w])$ are also defined on projective space.

For a finite dimensional $\mathbb{H}$ (the only case we consider, since S is a finite set), with energy-basis $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d\}$, we can define the Kusuoka measure on a symbolic space as

$$\nu = \frac{1}{d}\left(\nu_{u_1} + \nu_{u_2} + \cdots + \nu_{u_d}\right).$$

It follows that $\nu(w)$ can be calculated by using the Frobenius norm:

$$\nu(w) = \|A_w\|^2 / \|I\|^2, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\|A\|^2 = Tr(A^*A).$$

The symmetric matrix $A_w^* A_w$ gives the energy form $(h, h') \mapsto \mathcal{E}(h|_w, h'|_w)$. This definition gives a consistent measure since for each k

$$I = \sum_{w \in S^k} A_w^* A_w.$$

We use $\nu(w|u)$ to denote the conditional measure and for the Kusuoka measure we have

$$\nu(w|u) = \frac{\nu(wu)}{\nu(u)} = \frac{\|A_u A_w\|^2}{\|A_u\|^2}.$$

The process

$$x \mapsto (n \mapsto w \mapsto \nu(w \mid [x]_n)), \quad x \in X, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, w \in S^k$$

is a ν-martingale with values $w \mapsto \nu(w \mid [x]_n)$ in the compact space of distributions on S^k.

By the martingale convergence theorem, $\nu(w \mid [x]_n)$ converges ν-almost everywhere. Note that, with $w = s \in S$, this means that the "g-function"

$$g(sx) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu(s|[x]_n),$$

which defines the transfer operator L, is defined ν-almost everywhere. In our case, the g-function is not continuous, in fact it is not continuous anywhere.

Let $\widehat{A}$ denote the normalisation $A/\|A\|$ of A with respect to the Frobenius norm. Then

$$\nu(ws|w) = \|A_s\widehat{A}_w\|^2 = \|A_sA_w\|^2/\|A_w\|^2.$$

The following theorem was also proved in [7], but here we write out explicit calculations of the rate of convergence, $(4/5)^n$, which were left out from [7]. That the convergence constant can be chosen to be $C = 2$ which is the dimension of the space of harmonic functions $\mathbb{H}$ (modulo constants) was explained in [7]; here we use a slightly different approach, analyzing the singular value decomposition of the products of matrices.

Theorem 3.1. *If ν is the Kusuoka measure on three symbols related to the SG_2, we have for $A \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and $B \in \mathcal{F}$ that*

$$\left|\nu(T^{-(n+k)}A \cap B) - \nu(A)\nu(B)\right| \leq C\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^n.$$

Proof. Let $A_n(x) = V_n(x)D_n(x)U_n(x)^*$ denote the singular value decomposition of $A_n(x)$. Define also $E_n(x) = \widehat{D_n}(x)$, $C_n(x) = V_n(x)E_n(x)$ and $Q_n(x) = E_n(x)U_n(x)^*$. Note that, for any $w \in S^k$, we have

$$\nu(w \mid [x]_n) = \|\widehat{A}_n(x)A_w\|^2 = \|Q_n(x)A_w\|^2.$$

Thus the set of x for which $\nu(w \mid [x]_n)$ converges is equal to, independently of w, the set of x (of full ν-measure) for which $Q_n(x)$ converges to some limit $Q(x)$. We can for these x and only these x define the g-function $g(sx) = \nu(s|x)$.

Let X_c be the set of points x where the g-function is defined, which is also the set for which $\lim_{n\to\infty} Q_n(x) = Q(x)$.

The result follows if we can establish that for a fixed $x \in X_c$ and cylinder sets $[u] = [T^{-m}x]_m$ and $[w] = [T^{-m-k}x]_k$ we have for some $0 < \alpha < 1$ (to be determined to be 4/5)

$$\nu(w|x) = L^m 1_{[w]} = \sum_u \nu(wu|x) = (1 + \mathcal{O}\alpha^m)\,\nu(w). \tag{3.2}$$

In matrix form, (3.2) says that

$$\sum_u \|Q(x)A_uA_w\|^2 = (1 + \mathcal{O}\alpha^m)\,\|\sum_u A_uA_w\|^2 = (1 + \mathcal{O}\alpha^m)\,\|A_w\|^2. \tag{3.3}$$

Since $\|B\|^2 = \mathrm{Tr}(BB^*) = \mathrm{Tr}(B^*B)$ we can use linearity of trace to obtain the equality

$$\nu(w|x) = \sum_{u\in S^m} \mathrm{Tr}\left(Q(x)A_uA_wA_u^*A_w^*Q(x)^*\right) = \mathrm{Tr}\left(Q(x)M^m\left(A_wA_w^*\right)Q(x)^*\right). \tag{3.4}$$

where the linear operator $B \mapsto M(B)$ is defined for symmetric operators by

$$M(B) = \sum_{s \in S} A_s B A_s^*.$$

Note that, $\mathrm{Tr}(M(B)) = \mathrm{Tr}(B)$. Furthermore, since $\sum_s A_s A_s^* = I$, we have $M(I) = I$. Thus it remains to show that this fixed-point is unique and attracting. For SG we have $S = \mathbb{Z}_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$, $d = 2$ and corresponding matrices

$$A_s = R^s D R^{-s}$$

where

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 3/\sqrt{15} & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{15} \end{bmatrix}$$

and R is the rotation-matrix $R = \mathrm{Rot}(2\pi/3)$. The map M takes the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{10}(9a + c) & \frac{4b}{5} \\ \frac{4b}{5} & \frac{1}{10}(a + 9c) \end{bmatrix}$$

and it is easy to see that

$$M^m H = I + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^m$$

for any symmetric matrix H with trace 2. That the off-diagonal elements converge with rate $(4/5)^m$ to 0 is immediate from inspection. On the diagonal, we see that the difference between the two entries in MH is $\frac{4}{5}(a - c)$. It follows that the diagonal entries of MH converge to 1 with rate $(4/5)^m$, since M preserves trace. The result follows. □

References

[1] T. Donglei, S. Weiyi *The Laplacian on the level 3 Sierpiński gasket via the method of averages*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, **23** (4) (2005), 1201–1209.

[2] J. Azzam, M.A. Hall and R.S. Strichartz, *Conformal energy, conformal Laplacian, and energy measures on the Sierpiński gasket*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), 2089–2131.

[3] R. Bell, C.-W. Ho and R.S. Strichartz, *Energy measures of harmonic functions on the Sierpiński gasket*, to appear in the Indiana Journal of Mathematics.

[4] B. Boyle, K. Cekala, D. Ferrone, N. Rifkin and A. Teplyaev, *Electrical resistance of n-gasket fractal networks*, Pacific J. Math. **233** (1) (2007), 15–40.

[5] M. Hino, *Some properties of energy measures on Sierpinski gasket type fractals*, to appear in Journal of Fractal Geometry.

[6] M. Ionescu, E.P.J. Pearse, L.G. Rogers, H-Y. Ruan, R.S. Strichartz, *The Resolvent Kernel for PCF Self-Similar Fractals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362**(8) (2010), 4451–4479.

[7] A. Johansson, A. Öberg and M. Pollicott, *Ergodic Theory of Kusuoka Measures*, (arXiv:1506.03037v3), to appear in Journal of Fractal Geometry.

[8] N. Kajino, *Heat kernel asymptotics for the measurable Riemannian structure on the Sierpinski gasket*, Potential Anal. **36**, no. 1, (2012) 67-115.

[9] N. Kajino *Analysis and geometry of the measurable Riemannian structure on the Sierpiski gasket*, Fractal geometry and dynamical systems in pure and applied mathematics. I. Fractals in pure mathematics, 91-133, Contemp. Math., 600, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013.

[10] J. Kigami, *Analysis on Fractals*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics (2001).

[11] J. Kigami, *Harmonic calculus on p.c.f. self-similar sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **335** (1993), 721-755.

[12] J. Kigami, *Harmonic analysis for resistance forms*, J. Funct. Anal. **209** (2003) 399-444.

[13] J. Kigami, *Measurable Riemannian geometry on the Sierpinski gasket: the Kusuoka measure and the Gaussian heat kernel estimate*, Math. Ann. **340** (2008), 781-804.

[14] S. Kusuoka, *Dirichlet forms on fractals and products of random matrices*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci, **25** (1989), 659-680.

[15] E.D. Mbakop, *Analysis on Fractals*, Worcester Polytechnique Institute (2009).

[16] J. Needleman, R.S. Strichartz, A. Teplyaev, P.-L. Yung, *Calculus on the Sierpiński gasket. I. Polynomials, exponentials and power series*, J. Funct. Anal. **215** (2004), no. 2, 290–340.

[17] O. Ben-Bassat, R.S. Strichartz, Alexander Teplyaev, *What Is Not in the Domain of the Laplacian on Sierpiński gasket Type Fractals*, J. Funct. Anal. **166** (1999), 197–217.

[18] L.G Rogers, R.S Strichartz and A. Teplyaev *Smooth bumps, a Borel theorem and partitions of smooth functions on P.C.F. fractals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), no. 4, 1765-1790.

[19] R.S. Strichartz, Differential Equations on Fractals, Princeton University Press (2006).

[20] R.S. Strichartz and S.T. Tse, *Local behavior of smooth functions for the energy Laplacian on the Sierpinski gasket*, Analysis **30** (2010), 285–299.

[21] R.S. Strichartz and Michael Usher, *Splines on Fractals*, Math. Proc. of the Cambridge Philos. Soc. **129** (2000), 331–360.

[22] R.S. Strichartz, *Solvability for differential equations on Fractals*, Journal d'Analyse Mathmatique, **96** (2005), 247–267.

[23] R.S. Strichartz, *Some Properties of Laplacians on Fractals*, J. Func. Anal. **164**(2) (1999), 191–208.

[24] A. Teplyaev, *Energy and Laplacian on the Sierpiński gasket*, Fractal Geometry and Applications: A Jubilee of Benoit Mandelbrot, Part 1. Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics 72, Amer. Math. Soc., (2004), 131–154.

[25] A. Teplyaev *Harmonic coordinates on fractals with finitely ramified cell structure* Canad. J. Math. **60** (2008), no. 2, 457-480.

[26] K. Tsougkas, *Non-degeneracy of the harmonic structure on Sierpinski gaskets*, arXiv:1605.04117 (2016)